The effect of vertical displacement of the California Undercurrent and alongcoast advection on the Pacific Northwest shelf

Hally B. Stone

Co-Authors: Neil Banas, Barbara M. Hickey, & Parker MacCready PICES 2016

November 8, 2016









Drivers of Variability in the NCCS

- Importance of winds in NCCS:
 - Remote winds (39°N) and weaker local winds drive upwelling and downwelling.
 - Remote winds drive propagation of coastal trapped waves that enhance alongcoast flows, including the CUC.
- California Undercurrent (CUC) variability in:
 - Depth
 - Composition
 - Velocity
- Variability in large-scale alongcoast advection
 - Intrusions of northern water
 - Influence of NPGO, PDO, ENSO on source of water in NCCS.



Summer: Remote Winds

From Hickey, et al., JGR, in press

Questions

- How does variability in the depth and composition of the CUC affect mid-shelf bottom water variability?
- How does large-scale alongcoast advection affect the source of water on both upper slope and subsurface shelf?
- How might these mechanisms affect shelf water chemistry?

Methods: Overview

- Analyzed both Eulerian and Lagrangian analyses from the *Cascadia* model, a ROMS hindcast model developed by UW Coastal Modeling Group.
- Eulerian: water properties on the mid-shelf bottom and upper slope.
- Lagrangian: water origin on the subsurface shelf and upper slope.



Cascadia Model

- PNWTOX-Cascadia model developed under PNWTOX (Giddings et al, *JGR*,2014) by the UW Coastal Modeling Group.
 - Utilizes Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS)
 - 8 year physical hindcast (2002-2009).
 - Includes the Salish Sea and coastal oceans and estuaries of WA, OR, VI, and BC.
 - Horizontal resolution: 1.5 km over shelf and slope;
 4.5 km offshore.
 - Vertical resolution: 40 σ -layers.
- Giddings, et al., 2014 used observational data to validate the model.
 - Coastal upwelling, the position, strength, and seasonality of the CUC, and coastal-trapped waves are well represented by the model.
- Caveat: deep salinity bias (0.2 fresher) inherited from NCOM.
 - Expected bias in f_{PEW} : ~0.05.
 - Impact limited by removal of seasonal cycle.

Model Forcing

- Ocean:
 - Southern and Western boundaries initialized and forced by the global Navy Coastal Ocean Model (NCOM)
 - Northern Boundary is closed at Johnstone Strait.
- Tides:
 - Tidal regimes from the 1/4° TPXO7.2 inverse global tidal model (Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002).
- Rivers:
 - Daily discharge data for all 16 major rivers from US Geological Survey and Environment Canada.
- Atmosphere:
 - All atmospheric initial conditions and forcings are from the MM5 regional forecast model (Mass et al, 2003).
- More information can be found in Giddings, et al., JGR, 2014.

Eulerian Analysis

Q1: How does variability in the depth and composition of the CUC affect midshelf bottom water variability?



Model Output

- Analysis spans 2003 through 2009.
 - Removed 2002 as spin-up year.
- A 30-day Hanning filter was applied to the model's daily output to get monthly values.
- Interannual anomalies were calculated by removing the seasonal cycle (mean monthly value).
- Analysis focused on mid-shelf bottom and upper slope water along each latitude from 44°N – 48°N.
 - Shelf water properties: salinity, temperature.
 - Slope water properties: temperature, salinity, Pacific Equatorial Water Fraction (f_{PEW}), depth of the σ_t = 26.5 kg m⁻³ isopycnal ($z_{26.5}$).
- Alongshore wind stress also used, and is from model forcing (MM5).



- Pacific Equatorial Water (PEW):
 - Warm (7°C 23°C)
 - Salty (34.5 36.0)
- Pacific Subarctic
 Upper Water
 (PSUW):
 - Cold (3°C 15°C)
 - Fresher (32.6 –
 33.6)
- % PEW declines as CUC flows poleward as PEW mixes with PSUW.









Weak Upwelling: 2004



Shallower CUC: 2004

Mid-shelf bottom salinity is correlated with local and remote wind stress.





CUC core depth is significantly correlated with mid-shelf bottom salinity anomalies at 44°N, 45°N, and 48°N.

Together, winds and CUC depth explain 50% of shelf salinity variability.



- Created a GLM composed of local and remote wind stress anomalies and anomalies in CUC core depth to reconstruct midshelf bottom salinity anomalies.
- Mean R² = 0.50; best fit at 48°N (R² = 0.61).

Lagrangian Analysis

Q2: How does large-scale alongcoast advection affect the source of water on both upper slope and subsurface shelf?



Lagrangian Analysis

- Jan 2002 Nov 2008: 3 million particles released at 38 points along model boundaries at every tenth of the water column depth.
 - Particles tracked in three dimensions until move outside domain or are "beached".
 - Excluded particles:
 - That exit within first three days of release.
 - That are released when flow was outward.
 - Once they enter the Salish Sea.
 - Remaining: 400,000 particles.
- Particle Origins:
 - Southern Boundary (SB): Green
 - Northern Boundary (NB): Blue
 - Offshore (Off): Yellow
 - SB & NB divided into "shallow" (released above 150 m) and "deep" (released below 150 m).
- Analysis focuses on particles that are found over the upper slope (150 m 500 m, 126°W to shelf break) and subsurface shelf (between 40 m and 80 m isobaths; bottom ½ of water column) at any time.
 - Divided into 1° latitude-wide bands.
- Calculated fraction of water origin at each time step.

Intrusions of Northern Boundary Deep Water



Intrusions of Northern Boundary Deep Water





Application to Chemistry

Q3: How might these mechanisms affect shelf water chemistry?



Implications for Oxygen:

- Shoaling of the CUC core by 50 m corresponds to a decrease in DO₂ by ~20 μmol kg⁻¹.
- Difference of ~20 μmol kg⁻¹ in DO₂ along the σ_t = 26.5 kg m⁻³ isopycnal within the model domain.
 - Southern Boundary water carries less DO₂.



These changes are comparable to interannual anomalies in DO₂ on the shelf (Siedlecki, et al., 2015).

Based on WOA DO₂ fields (Garcia, et al., 2014)

Together, interannual variability in remote and local wind stress and CUC core depth explain about half of interannual variability in mid-shelf bottom salinity.

- Together, interannual variability in remote and local wind stress and CUC core depth explain about half of interannual variability in mid-shelf bottom salinity.
- Alongcoast north-south lateral advection on the upper slope and subsurface shelf are correlated.

- Together, interannual variability in remote and local wind stress and CUC core depth explain about half of interannual variability in mid-shelf bottom salinity.
- Alongcoast north-south lateral advection on the upper slope and subsurface shelf are correlated.
- Large-scale alongcoast lateral advection can be just as effective as vertical displacement of the CUC in changing water properties, both of which may account for interannual anomalies of dissolved oxygen content observed in this region.

- Together, interannual variability in remote and local wind stress and CUC core depth explain about half of interannual variability in mid-shelf bottom salinity.
- Alongcoast north-south lateral advection on the upper slope and subsurface shelf are correlated.
- Large-scale alongcoast lateral advection can be just as effective as vertical displacement of the CUC in changing water properties, both of which may account for interannual anomalies of dissolved oxygen content observed in this region.