



Chelsea M. Rochman chelsea.rochman@utoronto.ca www.rochmanlab.com

Contamination and effects of plastic debris in the marine environment

Altered Oceans Part Four: Plague of Plastic Chokes the Seas



This five-part series on the crisis in the world's oceans was published in July and August of 2006. The series – by reporters Kenneth R. Weiss and Usha Lee McFarling and photographer Rick Loomis – won the 2007 Pulitzer Prize for explanatory reporting.

By Kenneth R. Weiss

AUGUST 2, 2008 | REPORTING FROM MIDWAY ATOLL

he albatross chick jumped to its feet, eyes alert and focused. At 5 months, it stood 18 inches tall and was fully feathered except for the fuzz that fringed its head.

All attitude, the chick straightened up and clacked its beak at a visitor, then rocked back and dangled webbed feet in the air to cool them in the afternoon breeze.



Contamination

Macroplastics (>5 mm) Microplastics (< 5mm)









Fig. 2. Global production, use, and fate of polymer resins, synthetic fibers, and additives (1950 to 2015; in million metric tons).

Geyer et al., 2017 Science Advances





Jambeck et al., 2015 Science



Source: Van Sebille, E., et al., A global inventory of small floating plastic debris, IOP Publishing, 2015; Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies







>800 species

Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, 2016



>220 species

FAO Report 2017





Similar occurrence of anthropogenic debris in fish from each location USA Indonesia

- -16 out of 64 fish (25%)
- -6 of 11 species sampled

30 total pieces 0.5 ± 1.4 SD avg pieces /fish



-21 out of 76 fish (28%)

-8 of 12 species sampled

105 total pieces 1.4 ± 3.7 SD avg pieces/fish



No difference among species

Rochman et al., 2015 Sci Reports

49 species commercial fish









Many species of shellfish



Other commercial products



Rochman et al., 2015; van Cauwenberghe and Janssen, 2014; Li et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Davidson and Dudas, 2016

Impact

Impacts can be physical or chemical





Rochman 2015 Chapter in Marine Anthropogenic Litter

Impacts can be due to the plastic itself or the mixture of plastics and associated chemicals



Japanese Medaka (Oryzias latipes)





Fish Diet

Virgin Plastic

Marine Plastic

Rochman et al., 2013, Nature Scientific Reports

Liver Toxicity

| Treatment | # Fish | Severe Glycogen Depletion | Lipidosis | Single Cell Necrosis |
|----------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Control | 24 | 0% | 21% | 0% |
| Virgin-plastic | 24 | 46% | 29% | 0% |
| Marine-plastic | 19 | 74% | 47% | 11% |



Are there ecological impacts?



Assemblage 14

Species 13

Population 12

Organism 11

Organ System 10

Organ 9

Tissue 8

> 7 Cell

Organelle 6

Molecular Assemblies 5

Macromolecules



Impacts described were grouped by size of debris and level of biological organization.





<u>Impact</u>

| Community/Assemblage | Altered species richness and evenness. |
|----------------------|---|
| Population | Fecundity, % of eggs hatched, inhibition in larval settlement, reduced survival in offspring, change in population size due to increased substrate. |
| Organism | survival |
| Suborganismal | oxidative stress, changes in gene expression and enzyme activity, tumor promotion and inflammation. |

PLASTIC DEBRIS

Rochman et al., 2016 Ecology





0

1 - 5

6 - 10

Evidence

Li et al., 2016 *ES&T* Sussarellu et al., 2016 *PNAS* Ogonowski et al., 2016 *PLOS* Green, 2015 *ES&T*

- Environmentally relevant concentration of microplastic.
- Asked questions about material type.
- Asked questions relevant to community and population-level effects:
 - settlement egg production, viability sperm motility larval yield assemblage change

Ecologically relevant experimental design:





Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



615

Microplastics in fisheries and aquaculture

Status of knowledge on their occurrence and implications for aquatic organisms and food safety



Pillars of Food Security



FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization)







80% of individuals 63% sampled san --Murray and Cowie, 2011

63% of individuals 75% sampled san --Devriese et al., 2015

75% of individuals sampled --Santana et al., 2016

Estimated Human Exposure



11,000 and 100,000 particles/yr --Van Cauwenberghe and Jansen 2014, GESAMP 2016



175 particles/year --Devriese et al. 2015

Fate of microplastic and nanoplastics in the body

TABLE 6.1 Fate of microplastic and nanoplastics in mammalian bodies as a function of particle size

| Microplastics (0.1–5000 μm) | | Nanoplastics (1–100 nm) | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| > 150 µm | no absorption | | |
| < 150 µm | in lymph absorption $\leq 0.3\%$ | | |
| = 110 µm | in portal vein | | |
| ≤ 20 µm (≤20000 nm) | access into organs | | |
| | | \leq 100 nm access to all organs, translocation of blood-brain and placental barrier | |
| | | Absorption up to 7% | |

Fate of microplastic and nanoplastics in the body



Mussels: Browne et al., 2008 ES&T



Mice: Deng et al., 2017 Scientific Reports



Physical Impact of the Particle



Mice: Deng et al., 2017 Scientific Reports

Physical Impact of the Particle

What does the medical literature tell us?



hernia mesh





TABLE 6.2

Medical literature on impact of microplastics and nanoplastics originating from inhalation and surgical materials at various levels of biological organization

| Level of biological organization | Particle type and size | Effect | Reference |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Macromolecules | PE 100 nm–30 μm PS 50 nm–4.7 μm PMMA 1 μm–2 μm PC 1 μm–55 μm | DNA damage, changes in gene and protein expression | Gelb et al., 1994; Brown et al., 2001; DeHeer et al., 2001; Gretzer et al., 2002; Petit et al., 2002; Ingram et al., 2004; Clohisy et al., 2006; Kaufman et al., 2008; Markel et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2010; Hallab et al., 2012; McGuinness et al., 2011; Samuelsen et al., 2009; Smith and Hallab 2010; Pearl et al., 2011 |
| Organelles* | PMMA 10 μm | more micronuclei | Zhang e <i>t al.,</i> 2008 |
| Cells | PS 20 nm–4.7 μm PE 300 nm–10 μm PMMA 2 μm–35 μm PS 20 nm–200 nm PS 60 nm–200 nm | cell clotting, necrosis, apoptosis, proliferation and loss of cell viability Oxidative stress Increased Ca ions | Gelb <i>et al.,</i> 1994; Brown <i>et al.,</i> 2001; Gretzer <i>et al.,</i> 2002; Bernard <i>et al.,</i> 2007; Fröhlich <i>et al.,</i> 2009; Samuelsen <i>et al.,</i> 2009; Hallab <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2012; McGuinness <i>et al.,</i> 2011 |
| Tissues | PE 600 nm–21 μ, PMMA 1 μm–35 μm | inflammation and bone osteolysis | Gelb e <i>t al.,</i> 1994; Clohisy et al., 2006; Markel et al., 2009; Pearl et al., 2011 |
| Organs | PMMA 1 μm–10 μm | lesions | Zhang et al., 2008; Pearl et al., 2011 |

*An organelle is a specialized subunit within a cell (e.g. mitochondria) with a specific function. PE (Polyethylene), PS (Polystyrene), PMMA (Poly(methyl methacrylate)), PC (Polycarbonate).



Image by Rolf Halden, Professor at Arizona State University



Jang et al., 2016 ES&T













Rochman et al., 2014 Science of the Total Environment

Concentration of BDE-183 + BDE-209 at each station



Chemicals associated with the plastic debris



Chemicals detected in water samples



What's next for research?

- Fate of plastics and associated chemicals in marine ecosystems and seafood products
- Ecologically-relevant studies to assess impacts to wildlife and fish stocks
- Impacts to food safety and nutritional value

Widespread Contamination in habitats and animals – including seafood.

Evidence of effects to wildlife – particularly macroplastics – including to populations and communities.

Evidence of effects of microplastics in lab animals, populations and communities.

Continue to aim toward a better understanding of sources, fate and impacts to humans and wildlife populations.



In the meantime, we have enough science to begin to mitigate now and prevent future sources of plastic pollution.

What's next for policy?

- 8 million metric tons of plastic enters the ocean each year (Jambeck et al., 2015 *Science*)
- Most policies occur on a very local scale, but plastic pollution does not observe borders, so why should policy?
- Policy is needed that scales with the magnitude of the problem.







What can we learn from other issues?



Stephanie B. Borrelle, Chelsea M. Rochman et al. PNAS 2017;114:9994-9997



Why we need an international agreement on marine plastic pollution

Stephanie B. Borrelle^{a,1}, Chelsea M. Rochman^{b,1,2}, Max Liboiron^c, Alexander L. Bond^d, Amy Lusher^e, Hillary Bradshaw^c, and Jennifer F. Provencher^f

- Reduction targets for plastic pollution
- Signatories from member states
- Annual reporting on success



- Global fund to support infrastructure and innovation



